

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The present Amendment is in response to the Office Action having a mailing date of June 3, 2005. Claims 1-6 and 12-13 are pending in the present Application. Applicant has amended claims 1, 2, 3, 4, and 12. Consequently, claims 1-6 and 12-13 remain pending in the present Application.

In the above-identified Office Action, the Examiner stated that claims 1-6 and 12-13 are allowable. Applicant welcomes the Examiner's indication that claims 1-6 and 12-13 are allowable.

Applicant has amended claims 1-4 and 12 in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. In particular, Applicant has replaced "pedestal" with "notch" to more clearly indicate that the gap specified is between the notch and the second pole.

In the above-identified Final Office Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings. In particular, the Examiner indicated that the notch in Figures 1B, 2B, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7B, and 8B be designated with a reference line drawn direction to the element. In particular, the Examiner stated that the reference character has "been used to designate both a height . . . and width . . ." However, Applicant notes that the reference characters cited by the Examiner designate the pedestal itself, not a particular portion of the pedestal. However, Applicant has provided substituted drawing sheets for Figures 1B, 2B, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7B, and 8B in which the reference line is drawn directly to a portion of the notch. In addition, Applicant has amended Figures 1B, 2B, 4B, 5B, 6B, 7B, and 8B to remove the vertical line noted by the Examiner and to change 104''' to 104'''' in Figure 8B. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's objection to the drawings has been addressed.

In the above-identified Office Action, the Examiner also objected to claims 1-4 and 12-13 because of the use of the term "pedestal" in some portions of the claim. Applicant has amended claims 1-4 and 12 to replace the term "pedestal" with "notch", as suggested by the Examiner.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-4 and 12-13 are allowable as currently presented.

Applicant's attorney believes that this application is in condition for allowance. Should any unresolved issues remain, Examiner is invited to call Applicant's attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP

July 20, 2005
Date

/Janyce R. Mitchell/ Reg. No. 40,095
Janyce R. Mitchell
Attorney for Applicant(s)
(650) 493-4540